



## STUDIO EXPLORE

### INSTRUCTORS

HAN, Man

hanman@cuhk.edu.hk

GHELICHI, Pedram

pedramghelichi@cuhk.edu.hk

HO, Tsz-Wai Jimmy

jimmyho@cuhk.edu.hk

KO, Rina

rinako@cuhk.edu.hk

## ISSUE

Dwelling has become a widely used term in architecture by scholars and architects, largely as a result of the way the term is taken to appear in Martin Heidegger's 1951 seminal essay 'Building Dwelling Thinking', in which the idea of the human is closely implicated. But what is dwelling anyway? Are the dynamics and the fleeting characteristics of the contemporary world no longer compatible with the continuity, stability, and security traditionally linked with dwelling? Why should and how can we rethink the concept of dwelling today? And, ultimately, how can architectural design contribute to dwelling?

Studio Explore will embark on a transformative exploration of the concept of "dwelling" by designing a house as a complex of rooms. Our designs should be born from an understanding and reimagining of "dwelling", weaving together issues of user, place, structure, material, and sustainability to create spaces that cater to the human experience on various levels—physically, emotionally, and psychologically.

## DESCRIPTION

Addressing the issue of dwelling in architecture is essential for enhancing human comfort and well-being, reflecting cultural identity, promoting sustainability, fostering social interaction, ensuring adaptability, and embracing inclusivity. Dwellings serve as more than mere structures; they embody the values and lifestyles of their inhabitants while impacting the environment and community. By prioritizing elements such as comfort, sustainability, adaptability, and inclusivity, architects can create spaces that not only provide shelter but also contribute to a sense of belonging, promote social cohesion, and integrate seamlessly into their surroundings. Thoughtful design that considers these multifaceted aspects of dwelling results in architecture that not only meets basic needs but also enriches lives, supports communities, and contributes to a more sustainable and inclusive built environment.

## DESIGN TASK

Each student is designing a house as complex of rooms totally around 800 to 1,000 square meters, which comprises a residential space and an additional space. The very specific users/types of users are to be defined by the students guided by the tutors.

The final resolution of the design should manifest the exploration of dwelling clearly, addressing:

1. The relationship between the house and the context
2. The spatial organization of a complex of rooms for the users
3. Materiality
4. Structure

It is important to note that these aspects ultimately should contribute to a coherent design narrative.

## SITE

### **Option 1: Tokyo (TBC)**

Tokyo is a unique example of urbanisation where constraints are limiting yet allows for diverse architectural experimentation.

### **Option 2: Urban villages in Shenzhen (TBC)**

Urban villages in Shenzhen blend rural history with urbanization, featuring high density, a mix of old and new structures, informal housing, vibrant commerce, and strong community bonds. They are

undergoing transformation and redevelopment, which offer a great opportunity to explore the topic dwelling.

The fundamental understanding of design, space, and materiality that students acquired from the prior design studios and courses will facilitate the exploration.

## **PRECEDENTS**

The analysis of seminal works in the history and regional precedents will be introduced through a lecture.

This course aims to guide the students to explore the architectural issue “dwelling” that affects the future and at the same time help students develop their design competence through a methodical approach.

The studio will work closely with other courses. For instance, it will collaborate with *Building Technology II: Materials and Construction* to help students develop structural concepts for their design projects.

## **IMPACT AND SUSTAINABILITY**

The topic is relevant globally and locally. For instance, the student proposals can inspire the housing practice in Hong Kong. Facing the challenges of pressing housing provision, the government of Hong Kong adopted a semi-autocratic operational measure to deal with the demands. This pragmatic approach for urban development achieved enormous success in housing provision to the city, however, the success was also attributed to the disregard of many other development objectives, and its resultant built environment constantly received criticisms usually related to the design concern of human scale, architectural diversity, and public realm, which were not taken into the main consideration in the pragmatic urbanization process. The proposal will provide insights and solutions to improve the housing design in Hong Kong.

The issue will be addressed by prioritizing occupant health and well-being through proper ventilation, natural lighting, and the use of non-toxic building materials.

## **METHODS**

### **PHASE 1**

Site study (group work): a guided field trip will be arranged to study the site. Students are required to study the physical environment, documenting it through photos, videos, and drawings. In the meantime, students must observe human activities and interview the people to understand the community. Students should consolidate their study into a site map, a site model, and a video conveying the character and mood of the site.

Programming (individual work): based on the information obtained from the field trip and a lecture on understanding dwelling, seminars and tutorials will be conducted to help students specify the users and program. Students will create a collage to convey the narrative of the program and the life rituals of the users.

Design parti: informed by the site study and the program, student will start to propose formal and spatial concepts.

## **PHASE 2**

A series of lectures on key themes will be presented gradually to stimulate design exploration:

Precedent analysis: a lecture will delve into the analysis of seminal works in the history and regional precedents, with a focus on dwelling, spatial organization, and sustainability.

Structure: the topic will be introduced through a combined lecture/workshop, in collaboration with the required course Building Technology II: Materials and Construction.

Materiality and construction: as the last lecture of this series, it will focus on the fundamental understanding of materiality and construction.

## **PHASE 3**

Drawing workshop: following the second review, a drawing workshop will be held to enhance the students' drawing skills, focusing on the key drawing.

Guided by the tutors, students will develop their projects and refine their design outputs through iteration.

## **WORKSHOPS**

A drawing workshop will be conducted to enhance the students' drawing skills

## **FIELD TRIPS**

Shenzhen and/or Tokyo

## **GUEST LECTURES**

TBC

## **EXHIBITION**

TBC

## **DELIVERABLES**

### **REVIEW01**

Site map, 1:500

Site model, 1:500

Video conveying the character and mood of the site

Collages of narrative of the program

Parti model, 1:200

### **REVIEW02**

Site plan and section, 1:500

Building plan and section, 1:200

Physical models, 1:200

Structure concept model, 1:200

## **KEY DRAWING**

Sectional perspective views showing the spatial qualities and the ritual of life

## **FINAL PRESENTATION**

Explanatory text

Evidence of design iteration

Site plan and section, 1:500

Ground floor plan (with surroundings) and upper floors, 1:100

Section and elevation (with surroundings), 1:100

Sectional perspective views showing the spatial qualities and the ritual of life

Photomontage of interior with information on materials, light, moods

Isometric drawings with surroundings

Physical model, 1:100

Structure concept model, 1:200

## **PROJECT BOOK**

Physical printed and bound portfolio document with a common format across all students within the studio. This will include:

- written statement on your overall project position
- graphic collection of your design process
- documentation in the form of plans, sections and elevations that meet with the standards widely accepted by the profession

## **LEARNING OUTCOMES**

1. Develop fundamental skills and techniques in architectural photography, including the effective use of light, shadow, and composition.
2. Gain an in-depth understanding of digital design publication workflows and the role of photography in architectural documentation and communication.
3. Cultivate the ability to use photography as an efficient tool for exploring and interpreting architectural design concepts and spatial narratives.
4. Develop the capacity to analyse and interpret photographic and photorealistic rendering information, translating visual cues into an understanding of architectural form, function, and design intent.
5. Demonstrate the ability to strategically create, select, and organise photographic views to creatively explore design ideas and navigate spatial cognition.
6. Foster the skills to present creative photographic works through the curation of an exhibition.
7. Enhance the capacity for cooperative teamwork and collaborative problem-solving.

## **ASSESSMENT SCHEME**

### **SPECIFIC ASSESSMENT**

01\_ Review01 (15%)

02\_ Review02 (15%)

03\_ Final Review (50%)

04\_ Project Book (20%)

**Total: 100%**

## **COURSE FORMAT**

### **1\_Teaching Days**

1. Students must attend for F2F teaching during these teaching hours.  
Teaching Day: 12:30-13:30, Monday and Thursday  
Design Studio is taught on Monday and Thursday 13:30 to 18:00. Students must be in a studio during these teaching hours.

2. Field trips, lectures, and other learning activities may be scheduled outside of teaching days.

## **2\_Student Study Effort (Total: 300 hrs) (Total hours are not equivalent. I haven't calculated)**

1. Class Contact: 130 hrs (Lecture, Tutorial, Critique, Field Trip)
2. Other Student Study Effort: 180 hrs (Studio / Self Study)

## **FIELD TRIP**

Shenzhen and/or Tokyo

## **REQUIRED READINGS**

Ching, Francis D. K. 2007. Architecture: Form, Space, & Order. 3rd ed. Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley & Sons.

Hejduk, John, Richard Henderson, Elizabeth Diller, and Irwin S. Chanin School of Architecture, eds. 1988. Education of an Architect. New York: Rizzoli.

Heynen, Hilde. "Architecture between Modernity and Dwelling: Reflections on Adorno's 'Aesthetic Theory.'" "

Malpas, Jeff. Rethinking Dwelling: Heidegger, Place, Architecture. London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2021.

Simitch, Andrea, and Val K. Warke. 2014. The Language of Architecture: 26 Principles Every Architect Should Know. Beverly, Massachusetts: Rockport Publishers.

## **OTHER REFERENCES**

Transformation of 530 dwellings / Lacaton & Vassal + Frédéric Druot + Christophe Hutin architecture House & Atelier Bow-Wow/ Atelier Bow-Wow

## **IMPORTANT NOTE TO STUDENTS**

### **Expectations for Professional Conduct**

The motto of The Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) is "Through learning and temperance to virtue". This motto places equal emphasis on the intellectual and moral education of students. In addition to pursuing academic excellence, students of CUHK are expected to maintain and uphold the highest standard of integrity and honesty in their academic and personal lives, respect the rights of others and abide by the law. More information on Postgraduate studies can be found in the PG Student Handbook. <https://www.gs.cuhk.edu.hk/>

### **Attendance**

Class attendance is required in all courses. For an excused absence, the instructor must be notified and presented with documentation of illness or personal matter. Please note: **Three (3)** or more unexcused absences may result in a failing grade for the course.

### **Academic Honesty**

The Chinese University of Hong Kong places very high importance on honesty in academic work submitted by students and adopts a policy of zero tolerance on academic dishonesty

Attention is drawn to University policy and regulations on honesty in academic work, and to the disciplinary guidelines and procedures applicable to breaches of such policy and regulations. Details may be found at: <http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty/>.

With each assignment, students may be required to submit a statement that they are aware of these policies, regulations, guidelines and procedures.

### **Third-Party Assistance**

All intellectual work essential to the design project must be completed by the student and cannot, under any circumstance, be outsourced to a third party (including, but not limited to a company, consultant, alumni, and/or friend).

In the design studio context, students may utilize external resources, such as printing services for presentation materials, and/or laser cutting and 3D printing services for prototyping purposes. Use of such third-party services constitutes non-intellectual work done by others. It is only permitted with prior written consent from the studio tutor and acknowledgment of such work done by the third party.

Assistance from other students or friends for aspects of project production also constitutes non-intellectual work done by others; this is allowed only if declared and acknowledged in a written statement attached to any such work that has received assistance.

Under all circumstances, students must declare all work done by others by completing the school's designated form before assessment. This form must include a detailed explanation of the third party's identity (name and relationship to the student), when and how they were utilized, and the specific tasks they performed in the project. The completed form, signed by the student, must be endorsed by the tutor and presented during the final review. The school will collect and retain this form for record-keeping purposes.

Failure to follow this code of conduct may be considered a case of academic dishonesty, to be reviewed by a disciplinary board, and possible failure of the course.

### **Artificial Intelligence**

Unless approved by the Programme or School Director, any use of AI tools such as ChatGPT or image generation tools (Midjourney) etc. is strictly prohibited and may result in disciplinary action in accordance with university policy on academic honesty. Students may refer to the CUHK 'Use of Artificial Intelligence tools in Teaching, Learning and Assessments' – A Guide for Students.

### **Student Work**

Submission of studio documentation must be complete and correctly formatted. Missing or incomplete submission of the documentation folder will result in the grade for the course being withheld. This will prevent registration for the following term or delay graduation. In addition, a grade deduction of *one letter grade* will be made.

**Term 2: 6 January 2025 (Monday) – 17 May 2025 (Friday)**

|                |  |  |
|----------------|--|--|
| <b>WEEK 19</b> |  |  |
| 06.01<br>09.01 | <b>LECTURE AND TUTORIAL<br/>TUTORIAL</b> | Introduction and Site Study<br>Site Study            |
| <b>WEEK 20</b> |  |  |
| 13.01<br>16.01 | <b>TUTORIAL<br/>LECTURE AND SEMINAR</b>  | Site Study<br>Understanding Dwelling                 |
| <b>WEEK 21</b> |  |  |
| 20.01<br>23.01 | <b>TUTORIAL<br/>TUTORIAL</b>             | Narrative of the Program<br>Narrative of the Program |
| <b>WEEK 22</b> |  |  |
| 27.01<br>30.01 | <b>TUTORIAL<br/>HOLIDAY<br/>NO CLASS</b> | Design Concepts                                      |
| <b>WEEK 23</b> |  |  |
| 03.02<br>06.02 | <b>HOLIDAY<br/>NO CLASS<br/>REVIEW01</b> | Yr2  |
| <b>WEEK 24</b> |  |  |
| 10.02<br>13.02 | <b>LECTURE AND TUTORIAL<br/>TUTORIAL</b> | Precedent Analysis<br>Design Iteration               |
| <b>WEEK 25</b> |  |  |
| 17.02<br>20.02 | <b>LECTURE AND TUTORIAL<br/>TUTORIAL</b> | On Structure<br>Design Iteration                     |
| <b>WEEK 26</b> |  |  |
| 24.02<br>27.02 | <b>LECTURE AND TUTORIAL<br/>TUTORIAL</b> | On Materiality and Construction<br>Design Iteration  |
| <b>WEEK 27</b> |  |  |
| 03.03<br>06.03 | <b>READING WEEK<br/>READING WEEK</b>     | Ug Classes Suspended<br>Ug Classes Suspended         |
| <b>WEEK 28</b> |  |  |
| 10.03<br>13.03 | <b>TUTORIAL<br/>REVIEW02</b>             | Design Iteration<br>Yr2                              |
| <b>WEEK 29</b> |  |  |
| 17.03<br>20.03 | <b>DRAWING WORKSHOP<br/>TUTORIAL</b>     | On Drawings<br>Design Iteration                      |
| <b>WEEK 30</b> |  |  |
| 24.03<br>27.03 | <b>TUTORIAL<br/>TUTORIAL</b>             | Design Iteration<br>Design Iteration                 |
| <b>WEEK 31</b> |  |  |
| 31.03<br>03.04 | <b>TUTORIAL<br/>TUTORIAL</b>             | Design Iteration<br>Design Iteration                 |
| <b>WEEK 32</b> |  |  |
| 07.04<br>10.04 | <b>TUTORIAL<br/>TUTORIAL</b>             | Design Iteration<br>Design Iteration                 |



---

**WEEK 33**

|             |                     |     |
|-------------|---------------------|-----|
| 14.04       | <b>FINAL REVIEW</b> | Yr2 |
| 15.04 (Tue) |                     |     |
| 17.04       |                     |     |

---

**WEEK 34**

---

**WEEK 35**

|       |                         |
|-------|-------------------------|
| xx.04 | Project Book Submission |
|-------|-------------------------|

---

## Academic Honesty Statement

\*Please print out and pin-up next to your works on your allocated panels

Relating to the 2024-25 Studio Review pin-up (BSSc students)

Please tick one of the following:

☐

All the work and models presented at the Final Review were made by me personally

☐

All the work and models presented at the Final Review were made by me.

with the exception of the following:

*Under all circumstances, students must declare all work done by others by completing this form before the review. Provide a detailed explanation of the third party's identity (name and relationship to the student), when and how they were utilized, and the specific tasks they performed in the project.*

Student's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Tutor's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

| Grade | Descriptor | Criteria   | Points |
|-------|------------|--|--------|
| A     | Excellent  | Comprehensively excellent performance on all aspects of the design intention, development, technical resolution and presentation.<br>Achieving all learning outcomes with distinction. | 4      |
| A-    | Very Good  | Generally outstanding performance on the design intention, development, technical resolution and presentation.<br>Achieving all learning outcomes with merit.                          | 3.7    |
| B+    | Good       | Substantial performance on the design intention, development, technical resolution and presentation.<br>Achieving all learning outcomes satisfactorily.                                | 3.3    |
| B     |            |  | 3      |
| B-    |            |  | 2.7    |
| C+    | Fair       | Fair performance on the design intention, development, technical resolution and presentation.<br>Achieving all learning outcomes at a passing standard.                                | 2.3    |
| C     |            |  | 2      |
| C-    |            |  | 1.7    |
| D+    | Pass       | Barely satisfactory performance on the design intention, development, technical resolution and presentation.<br>Achieving all learning outcomes at a barely satisfactory standard.     | 1.3    |
| D     |            |  | 1      |
| F     | Failure    | Unsatisfactory performance on the design intention, development, technical resolution and presentation.<br>Not achieving all learning outcomes.  | 0      |

## Written Feedback to Students

Term: \_\_\_\_\_

Grade: \_\_\_\_\_

Course: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Assignment: \_\_\_\_\_

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Studio Tutor: \_\_\_\_\_

Student ID: \_\_\_\_\_

### Feedback from Studio Tutor:

Achievements:

Challenges: